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ABSTRACT Proteinaceous components of the biofilm matrix include secreted extracellular proteins, cell surface adhesins, and protein subunits of cell appendages such as flagella and pili. Biofilm matrix proteins play diverse roles in biofilm formation and dissolution. They are involved in attaching cells to surfaces, stabilizing the biofilm matrix via interactions with exopolysaccharide and nucleic acid components, developing three-dimensional biofilm architectures, and dissolving biofilm matrix via enzymatic degradation of polysaccharides, proteins, and nucleic acids. In this article, we will review functions of matrix proteins in a selected set of microorganisms, studies of the matrix proteomes of Vibrio cholerae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and roles of outer membrane vesicles and of nucleoid-binding proteins in biofilm formation.

INTRODUCTION

Microorganisms in the natural environment typically live on or in close association with surfaces and predominantly exist as biofilms, surface attached microbial communities composed of cells and extracellular matrix (1–4). The exact compositions of biofilm matrices differ greatly between different microorganisms and growth conditions under which biofilms are formed but generally consist of exopolysaccharides, proteins, and nucleic acids. Proteinaceous components include cell surface adhesins, protein subunits of flagella and pili, secreted extracellular proteins, and proteins of outer membrane vesicles.

Cell surface proteins, pili, and flagella participate in the initial attachment to surfaces and, in some microorganisms, are also involved in migration along the surfaces, thereby facilitating surface colonization. Matrix proteins contribute to biofilm structure and stability. Such proteins were identified mostly by mutational studies, which showed that the absence of matrix proteins results in reduced biofilm formation and stability, and altered biofilm architectures (5–14). Structural analysis and biofilm localization studies have provided further insights into the functions and mechanisms of action of matrix proteins. Some matrix proteins exhibit enzymatic properties toward matrix components, such as the glycosyl hydrolase dispersin B that hydrolyzes polysaccharides (15), proteases that target matrix proteins (16), and DNases that degrade extracellular nucleic acids (17, 18), thus facilitating either biofilm matrix reorganization or biofilm matrix degradation and dispersal.

Several studies have been carried out to identify the matrix proteome of several microorganisms, including Vibrio cholerae (19), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (20), Myxococcus xanthus (21), and natural biofilm communities of acid mine drainage (22). These studies revealed that, in addition to secreted proteins, the biofilm matrix also contains large numbers of periplasmic, cytoplasmic, inner, and outer membrane proteins (OMPs). These results implicate the involvement of cell lysis and/or outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) in modulating biofilm proteome composition.

In this article, we focus on the matrix proteins that play structural roles in biofilm formation. We will discuss the functions and mechanisms of action of matrix proteins and lectins produced by V. cholerae and P. aeruginosa, the biofilm-associated proteins from Staphylococcus aureus, and the hydrophobin from Bacillus
**V. cholerae** matrix proteome studies of *V. cholerae* and *P. aeruginosa* and the roles of OMVs and nucleoid-binding proteins in biofilm formation.

**V. CHOLEREA MATRIX PROTEINS**

*V. cholerae* is a facultative human pathogen that colonizes the human intestine and survives for extended periods in natural aquatic environments. Both pathogenesis and environmental survival are closely linked to the microbe’s ability to form biofilms. Mature biofilm formation in *V. cholerae* depends on the production of *Vibrio* exopolysaccharides (VPS) (23, 24). *V. cholerae* produces two types of VPS. The repeating unit of the major variant consists of [-4)-α-GulNAcAGly3OAc-(1-4)-β-D-Glc-(1-4)-α-Glc-(1-4)-α-D-Gal-{1-]. In the minor variant, α-D-Glc is replaced with α-D-GlcNAc (25).

Three major biofilm matrix proteins (RbmA, Bap1, and RbmC) (5, 6) are important for biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces, and the extracellular chitin-binding protein GbpA mediates attachment to chitinous surfaces of zooplankton (26). The structure, function, and mechanistic roles of these matrix proteins in *V. cholerae* surface adhesion and biofilm formation are reviewed below.

**Rugosity and Biofilm Structure Modulator A (RbmA)**

RbmA is a 26-kDa matrix protein involved in facilitating intercellular adhesion during biofilm formation (5, 27). Studies carried out using a rugose variant of *V. cholerae*, which exhibits enhanced biofilm formation and colony corrugation due to increased production of VPS and matrix proteins, revealed that an *rbmA* mutant exhibits a decrease in colony corrugation (Fig. 1), forms a biofilm with altered biofilm architectures, and disperses easily by shear force (5). Similarly, pellicles, which are biofilms formed at the air-liquid interface, formed by the *rbmA* mutant are less wrinkled and more fragile and disintegrate upon force (5). Addition of exogenous purified RbmA rescues the altered pellicle phenotype of an *rbmA* mutant strain (19), indicating that extracellular provision of RbmA enhances intercellular interactions. Taken together, these studies point out the importance of RbmA in the development of mature biofilm architecture and in stabilization of biofilms.

The crystal structure of RbmA revealed that it consists of two tandem fibronectin type III (FnIII) domains and functions as a 49-kDa dimer (28). The approximately 100-aa FnIII domain is found widely in many proteins, including eukaryotic cell surface receptors and prokaryotic carbohydrate-binding proteins (29), suggesting a possible role of RbmA in binding carbohydrates and in cell adhesion. The two tandem FnIII domains (Fig. 2) are not identical in peptide sequence but share 24% identity and 44% similarity (30). The FnIII domains of RbmA fold as a seven-strand β-sandwich, with the N-terminal of the FnIII domain of one monomer interacting tightly with a second monomer of the asymmetric unit (28). The crystal structure of RbmA also revealed a positively charged groove formed by the two adjacent FnIII domains (28). Three arginine residues (R116, R219, and R234) located within this groove, which are predicted to be involved in ligand binding, were found to be critical for RbmA function. Strains that produce mutated versions of RbmA, containing point mutations in these positively charged residues, exhibit a decrease in colony corrugation and/or pellicle formation when compared to the parental strain (28). RbmA also contains a negatively charged groove, formed between...
the two FnIII domains of the same monomer (28). However, site-directed mutagenesis resulting in either removing (E84A) or reversing (E84R) the negative charges did not affect RbmA function, suggesting that this negatively charged groove does not play a major role in RbmA-mediated biofilm formation under the conditions studied (28). The biological role of the negatively charged groove remains to be determined.

Possible ligands of RbmA were identified by glycan array studies (30). RbmA exhibits saccharide-binding specificity and multivalency toward sialic acid and fucose and has a lower binding preference to galactose, rhamnose, and N-acetylglactosamine (GalNAc) (30). The ability of RbmA to bind to galactose, a component of VPS (23), suggests that RbmA-mediated biofilm formation may be, in part, due to RbmA-VPS interactions. The significance of RbmA binding to other sugars remains to be determined. It has also been speculated that RbmA may bind the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) found on the cell surface via interaction with sialic acid derivatives (30). Therefore, the positively charged groove may interact with negatively charged ligands such as carbohydrates on bacterial cell surfaces (30), VPS (28), and/or LPS (30). The unique flexibility of the FnIII domains (31, 32), together with the predicted interactions of RbmA with VPS, LPS, and/or cell surfaces, support a model where RbmA can act as an elastic scaffold in the biofilm matrix. RbmA may mediate flexible contacts with other matrix components and bacterial cell surfaces, thus increasing shear resistance and integrity of the biofilm matrix.

RbmA is secreted and contains a secretion signal sequence in its N-terminal region (Fig. 2) (6), indicating involvement of the general secretion (Sec) pathway in its secretion. However, the mechanism of RbmA secretion outside of the cell is currently unknown. Localization studies revealed that RbmA is distributed throughout the biofilm (19, 27), specifically surrounding the bacterial cells (27), suggesting that RbmA facilitates cell-cell adhesion. In fact, RbmA was detected on cell surfaces 30 minutes after surface attachment and aids in the retention of newly divided daughter cells (27). Furthermore, retention of RbmA on cell surfaces is dependent on the presence of VPS. This indicates that interaction with VPS is essential for RbmA spatial distribution within the biofilm matrix and further reinforces the notion that RbmA binds VPS. The spatial and temporal localization of RbmA, as well as the dependency on VPS for retention on cell surfaces, strongly supports the role of RbmA as a scaffold that mediates cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions.

### Biofilm-Associated Protein 1 (Bap1) and Rugosity and Biofilm Structure Modulator C (RbmC)

The 75-kDa Bap1 and 104-kDa RbmC matrix proteins share 47% peptide sequence similarity and are involved in biofilm formation in *V. cholerae* (6, 33). Despite their sequence similarity, Bap1 and RbmC affect biofilm formation differently. While the lack of either protein affects colony corrugation (Fig. 1), pellicle formation, and biofilm formation, the defects differ in magnitude (6). A mutant unable to produce both RbmC and Bap1 exhibits marked decreases in biofilm formation and the ability to stably attach to surfaces. Complementation analysis of *rbmC bap1* double mutant showed that Bap1 and RbmC can partially complement each other, but they are not functionally redundant (6, 27).

Sequence similarity database and Pfam motif searches, as well as sequence alignment analysis, showed that Bap1 and RbmC contain four overlapping *Vibrio-Colwellia-Bradyrhizobium-Shewanella* repeat (VCBS) domains that form two VCBS regions (Fig. 2). In addition, Bap1 contains four FG-GAP (phenyl-alanyl-glycyl [FG] and glycyl-alanyl-prolyl [GAP]) domains, while RbmC contains two FG-GAP domains. Although the VCBS domain (PF13517) is commonly found in multiple copies.
in proteins from several species of Vibrio, Colwellia, Bradyrhizobium, and Shewanella, very little is known about its function, except that it may be involved in cell adhesion. FG-GAP repeats (PF01839) are found in the N-terminal region of the eukaryotic integrin α-chain, which is important for ligand recognition and binding to the extracellular matrix or cell surface proteins (34–36). NCBI conserved domain searches also revealed that Bap1 and RbmC contain one and two jacalin-like lectin domains, respectively. The jacalin-like lectin domains (PF01419), or β-prism domains, have binding specificities to galactose, mannose, and/or glucose and their derivatives (37, 38). Since VPS contains these sugars (23), it is possible that Bap1 and RbmC may bind to the galactose, mannose, and/or glucose residues of VPS. The presence of VCBS, FG-GAP, and lectin domains suggests that Bap1 and RbmC likely mediate biofilm formation by facilitating adhesion and carbohydrate binding. Both Bap1 and RbmC contain a predicted EF-hand calcium-binding motif (Fig. 2), indicative of calcium binding. However, it remains to be determined whether these predicted sites bind calcium and if binding to calcium regulates protein function.

Both Bap1 and RbmC contain predicted secretion signal sequences at the N-terminal regions (Fig. 2), indicating that they are secreted from the cytoplasm via the Sec-dependent pathway. V. cholerae type II secretion system proteome analysis showed that RbmC is secreted by the type II secretion system (39), while the mechanism of secretion of Bap1 is currently unknown. Biofilm localization studies revealed that RbmC and Bap1 form envelopes around microcolonies/cell clusters and that Bap1, but not RbmC, localizes to the biofilm-surface interface (27). The localization of Bap1 and RbmC within the biofilm corroborates their functions and the biofilm phenotypes observed. While the presence of both Bap1 and RbmC in the cell cluster envelope likely allows partial functional redundancy, the additional role of Bap1 in anchoring developing biofilms onto surfaces cannot be fulfilled by RbmC. It is noteworthy that the specific spatial localization of Bap1 within the biofilm is not due to localized transcription of bap1 within the cell population (19). The mechanisms by which Bap1 and RbmC are targeted to their specific location during biofilm formation are currently unknown. Retention of Bap1 and RbmC on cell surfaces is dependent on the presence of VPS, while the localization of Bap1 at the biofilm-substratum interface is VPS-independent (27). These observations indicate that Bap1 and RbmC could bind VPS, and these interactions may influence the spatial localization of these matrix proteins. The second lectin domain at the C-terminal region of RbmC has been reported to be nonessential for protein function, because a bap1 rbmC double mutant strain, harboring an rbmC allele with a truncation of the C-terminal lectin domain, is able to form biofilms (19). However, it is yet to be determined if the complemented strain can form biofilms with wild-type architectures.

It has been reported that Bap1 could associate with OMVs by binding to OmpT (40). Bap1-OmpT interaction requires the presence of the integrin-binding domain (leucine-aspartic acid–valine peptide) of OmpT and the FG-GAP domains of Bap1 (Fig. 2). It was also reported that RbmC does not interact with OmpT in the OMVs, although it is currently unclear why Bap1, but not RbmC, binds to OmpT. It is possible that Bap1 may exhibit higher binding affinity to OmpT because Bap1 contains four FG-GAP domains, while RbmC contains only two FG-GAP domains. Although it is yet to be determined if OMVs are part of the V. cholerae biofilm matrix, this observation highlights possible bifunctional roles of Bap1 in biofilm formation: facilitating cell attachment to surfaces and interactions among different biofilm components, i.e., VPS and OMVs. While the localization of Bap1 and RbmC in the biofilm matrix and their involvement in biofilm formation have been demonstrated, the importance of the various predicted adhesion and carbohydrate-binding domains is unknown. Further studies in determining the roles of these domains and critical residues for protein function will help provide better insights into the mechanistic functions of these matrix proteins.

**V. cholerae GlcNAc-Binding Protein A (GbpA)**

In the natural aquatic environment, V. cholerae adheres to chitinous surfaces of phytoplankton and zooplankton, including exoskeletons of copepods, and colonization of these surfaces enhances *V. cholerae* survival in the rapidly changing environment (41, 42). Chitin is a polymer of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc) and is one of the most abundant polysaccharides in nature that can be used as a carbon and energy source by *V. cholerae* (43). The *V. cholerae* GbpA is a chitin-binding protein (26, 44) predicted to be critical for *V. cholerae* environmental survival by facilitating adhesion to chitinous surfaces. Indeed, gbpA mutant strains exhibited decreased attachment to zooplankton chitinous exoskeletons and egg sacs (26, 45). In addition, GbpA-deficient mutants exhibited reduced adherence to chitin and GlcNAc-coated beads (26, 44). Similarly, GbpA-chitin interaction was also observed in GbpA-containing cell lysates, and the interaction was abolished upon the
addition of GlcNAc in a concentration-dependent manner, indicating that GbpA specifically binds to the chitin monomer GlcNAc (26). Although GbpA mediates adhesion to chitinous surfaces, it was reported that GbpA does not play a role in attachment and biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces. A gbpA mutant strain exhibited wild-type levels of attachment efficiency on inorganic quartz, quartzite, and calcium carbonate (marine sediment) surfaces (45) and was able to form biofilms on polyvinyl chloride surfaces (46), suggesting that the main role of GbpA is adhesion to GlcNAc/chitin-containing biotic surfaces. Furthermore, GbpA has also been reported to bind to intestinal mucin, which also contains GlcNAc (47). As such, GbpA functions as a virulence factor (26, 47, 48) and an adhesin in mediating intestinal attachment and adhesion on chitinous surfaces.

Crystal structures of GbpA revealed that it is an unusual, elongated protein. It consists of four domains (D1 to D4) that do not interact and are completely surface-exposed (48). The two terminal domains D1 and D4 exhibit structural similarity to chitin-binding protein 21 (CBP21) and sequence similarity to the C-terminal chitin-binding domain of chitinase B (ChiB) from Serratia marcescens, respectively (48). Domains D1 and D4 were shown to bind chitin in glycan array binding assays. However, in contrast to previous reports that showed binding of GbpA to GlcNAc using V. cholerae cells and cell lysates (26, 44), the purified full-length and various truncated versions of GbpA did not exhibit GlcNAc-binding ability (48), suggesting that additional V. cholerae factors may be required for GbpA-GlcNAc interaction. Domains D2 and D3 are essential for cell-surface interactions (48). Domain D2 shows distant structural similarity to the β-domain of protein p5 of Sphingomonas sp. A1 (48), which is predicted to be involved in cell-surface interactions (49, 50). Domain D3 exhibits an immunoglobulin fold that is associated with cell adhesion (48, 51, 52). Furthermore, D1 is essential for mucin binding, and D1 to D3 are required for intestinal colonization in infant mice (48). GbpA contains an N-terminal secretion signal sequence and has been reported to be secreted by the type II secretion system (26, 39). It appears that the unique elongated structure of GbpA results in modular binding, in which the two terminal domains, D1 and D4, bind to chitin, while the two central domains, D2 and D3, interact with cell surfaces. Although the binding targets of each domain have been elucidated, the essential residues for their specific binding are still unknown; such studies would provide mechanistic insights into GbpA-mediated adhesion.

**Matrix Proteome of V. cholerae**

The proteome of the V. cholerae biofilm matrix from biofilms grown in nutrient broth under static biofilm conditions has been reported (19). A total of 74 proteins with predicted extracytoplasmic localization were identified. These include known biofilm matrix proteins (RbmA and RbmC), several OMPs (OmpA, OmpU, OmpT, OmpH, OmpK, OmpW, OmpS, and TolC), periplasmic proteins, flagellar proteins, mannose-sensitive hemagglutinin pili proteins, enzymes (hemagglutinin/protease HapA and chitinase ChiA-2), and the hemolysin HlyA. Protein localization studies, using immunofluorescence microscopy, further confirmed biofilm matrix localization of RbmA, Bap1, MshA, and HlyA (19). The cell-associated mannose-sensitive hemagglutinin type IV pili, which facilitates attachment to abiotic and chitinous biotic surfaces (53, 54), and the matrix proteins RbmA and Bap1, which contain adhesion and carbohydrate-binding lectin domains, are expected to be retained within the biofilm matrix. HlyA contains a jacalin-like lectin domain, suggesting that HlyA may be binding to the biofilm matrix via the lectin domain. The role of OMPs in biofilm formation is yet to be determined. Several hypothetical proteins were also identified in the study, and determining their functions may lead to the identification of new biofilm matrix proteins of V. cholerae.

**P. AERUGINOSA MATRIX PROTEINS**

P. aeruginosa is an opportunistic human pathogen capable of causing diverse infections in humans. The capacity of P. aeruginosa to form biofilms on various surfaces in the human body is critical for its pathogenicity. The P. aeruginosa biofilm matrix consists of exopolysaccharides (55–57), extracellular DNA (eDNA) (58, 59) and proteins (7, 8, 14, 60). In P. aeruginosa, three exopolysaccharides (alginate, Pel, and Psl) have been shown to be involved in biofilm formation in a strain-specific manner. Alginate is a high molecular weight acetylated exopolysaccharide that consists of uronic acids (mannuronic and guluronic acids) (55, 61) and is not essential for biofilm formation, as shown in the clinical alginate-overproducing mucoid strain (FRD1) and the laboratory nonmucoid (PAO1 and PA14) strains (62–64). However, overproduction of alginate affects the development of mature biofilm architectures (62, 64, 65). Pel is a glucose-rich polysaccharide and is required for pellicle and biofilm formation in P. aeruginosa PA14, while Psl is a mannose- and galactose-rich polysaccharide that is critical for pellicle
and biofilm formation in PAO1 (56, 57, 66–69). eDNA has also been reported to be important in the initial stages of biofilm formation. The presence of DNase I prevents biofilm formation, and addition of DNase I on preformed biofilms at early stages of biofilm formation leads to dissolution of the biofilm matrix (58). While a wealth of knowledge is available on the exopolysaccharides produced by different strains of P. aeruginosa, only a few P. aeruginosa biofilm matrix proteins have been described. These include the lectins LecA and LecB, and the Psl-binding matrix protein CdrA.

**LecA and LecB Lectins**

_P. aeruginosa_ produces two lectins, LecA and LecB (formerly known as PA-IL and PA-IIL, respectively) (70–72), that are involved in biofilm formation (7, 8, 60) and play a role during infections (73–75). Lectins are carbohydrate-binding proteins that exhibit sugar-binding specificity (76, 77). LecA is required for _P. aeruginosa_ biofilm formation on polystyrene and stainless steel surfaces. A _lecA_ mutant of PAO1 exhibits reduced substratum coverage, while a LecA-overproducing strain exhibits increased biofilm formation (7). LecA binds to galactose, N-acetyl-D-galactosamine, and glucose (60, 78). It is yet to be determined if LecA binds to the galactose-rich Psl and glucose-rich Pel; such an interaction would contribute to biofilm formation. LecB is involved in biofilm formation on glass surfaces. A _lecB_ mutant of PAO1 forms a biofilm with reduced thickness and surface coverage compared to that formed by wild type (8).

LecB exhibits high affinity for fucose and binds to several other monosaccharides with the following preference: _L-fucose > L-galactose > D-arabinose > D-fructose > D-mannose_ (79). LecB may mediate biofilm formation of _P. aeruginosa_ via interactions with the galactose and mannose residues in Psl. Orthologs of LecB have also been identified in other bacteria (80–83), but their role in biofilm formation is unknown.

Crystal structures of LecA and LecB have been reported both in the native form and in complex with their binding saccharides (79, 84–86). LecA (51 kDa) is a tetrameric protein consisting of four 12.8-kDa subunits (84, 85, 87). In the LecA structure, each monomer adopts a small jelly-roll β-sandwich fold, consisting of two curved sheets, with a calcium-dependent ligand-binding site at the apex that binds one galactose ligand and one calcium ion (85). LecA also contains a secondary glucose-binding site in close proximity to the primary galactose-binding site, but the bound glucose residue does not interact with the amino acid residues of the galactose-binding site (78). LecA-mediated biofilm formation involves the galactose-binding site. Addition of galactosides that have high affinities to LecA, such as IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside) and NPG (p-nitrophenyl-α-D-galactoside), reduce wild-type _P. aeruginosa_ PAO1 biofilm formation and induce dispersion in mature biofilms (7).

LecB (47 kDa) is a tetrameric protein consisting of four 11.7-kDa subunits (88). In the LecB structure, each monomer is arranged as a nine-stranded antiparallel β-sandwich (84, 86). The amino acid residues N95 to D104 form a single loop, and together with S22, S23, and G114 make up the ligand-binding site in LecB that interacts with two calcium ions. The two calcium ions not only directly interact with the ligands but are also required to stabilize the N95-D104 loop, which forms the core ligand-binding site.

LecB is localized to the outer membrane (8). A mutation in the calcium-binding site (D104A) abolishes binding of LecB to the outer membrane. Furthermore, treatment of outer membrane fractions with NPF (p-nitrophenyl-α-L-fucose), which has a high affinity for LecB, resulted in dissociation of LecB from the outer membrane. In addition, pre-incubation of a fluorescently labeled LecB with _L-fucose_ inhibited interaction of the lectin with cell surfaces (8). Collectively, these findings suggest that LecB likely interacts with a ligand on the cell surface in a calcium-dependent manner.

In a study designed to identify the cell surface ligand for LecB, direct interaction between LecB and the OMP OprF was demonstrated (89). Western blot analysis revealed that OprF was necessary for proper LecB localization, because LecB was released into the culture supernatant of the _oprF_ mutant, instead of being retained on the outer membrane as observed in the wild-type strain. Specific LecB-OprF interaction was further demonstrated with copurification of OprF and LecB. In the same study, a far-Western blot analysis using purified LecB detected several positive protein bands from the membrane fraction of _P. aeruginosa_ (89), suggesting that LecB may interact with other ligands on the membrane in addition to OprF. The mechanism of LecB-OprF interaction remains to be determined. It is also currently unclear if LecA interacts with any OMPs in _P. aeruginosa_. Although both LecA and LecB are localized in the cytosolic and outer membrane fractions in PAO1 (7, 8), they do not contain a predicted signal sequence as determined by SignalP 4.1. However, using SecretopeM 2.0, both LecA and LecB are predicted to be secreted via a nonclassical pathway (7). The exact mechanism of LecA and LecB secretion is currently unknown.
**Cyclic Diguanylate-Regulated Two-Partner Secretion Partner A (CdrA)**

*P. aeruginosa* produces a matrix protein, CdrA, which binds to the exopolysaccharide Psl and mediates biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces (14). *cdrA* is in an operon with *cdrB*, predicted to encode a putative outer membrane transporter. CdrA and CdrB are predicted to be members of the two-partner secretion systems. Western blot analysis demonstrated that CdrA exists as a full-length cell-associated protein that can be processed into a smaller fragment, which is released into culture supernatant. The exact mechanism of this proteolytic processing is not clear. A *cdrA* mutant forms biofilms that are thinner and less structured than biofilms formed by wild type (14). Overproduction of CdrA leads to an increase in cell auto-aggregation in liquid cultures, and CdrA-mediated auto-aggregation is dependent on Psl, but not Pel: a Pel-deficient strain is unable to auto-aggregate, while a Pel-deficient strain still exhibits auto-aggregation phenotype when CdrA is overproduced. Addition of mannose reduces the auto-aggregation phenotype, suggesting that CdrA binds to the mannose residues in Psl. CdrA also exhibits multivalency because the addition of fucose, fructose, or GlcNAc also reduces the auto-aggregation phenotype. Direct binding of CdrA to Psl exopolysaccharide was demonstrated with co-immunoprecipitation of CdrA with Psl. Therefore, CdrA mediates biofilm formation and cell auto-aggregation in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 by binding to the Psl exopolysaccharide, leading to either cross-linking of the exopolysaccharide polymers and/or tethering of Psl to cells.

The secondary structure of CdrA is predicted to be dominated mainly by β-strands, and tertiary structure prediction revealed that CdrA forms a long, rod-shape structure with a β-helix structural motif (14). CdrA contains several putative adhesion domains (14), including a carbohydrate-dependent hemagglutination activity domain, a glycine-rich sugar-binding domain, and an RGD (Arg-Gly-Asp) sequence motif that may function as an integrin recognition site (51). Although the functions of these domains and motifs remain to be tested, it is likely that CdrA mediates biofilm formation via these putative adhesion domains.

**Matrix Proteome of *P. aeruginosa***

A study designed to identify proteins associated with *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 biofilm matrix (matrix proteome) was recently carried out (20). Forty-five proteins that were not present in the whole-cell samples were identified exclusively in the matrix proteome, including the Psl-binding CdrA and the cognate transporter CdrB. Overall, the matrix proteome of *P. aeruginosa* contains secreted proteins (13.3%), cytoplasmic proteins (28.9%), periplasmic proteins (11.1%), cytoplasmic membrane proteins (2.2%), and most abundantly, OMPs (35.6%). The cellular locations of the rest of the proteins (8.9%) could not be identified with confidence. The presence of OMPs and cytoplasmic proteins in the matrix proteome could be due to cell lysis as well as the presence of OMVs in the biofilm matrix. When compared to proteins identified from biofilm OMVs, 53% of predicted OMPs were found in both matrix and OMV samples, indicating that a large portion of the matrix proteins are associated with OMVs. A large 362-kDa protein, predicted to be localized in the outer membrane, was also identified that may function as a surface protein for adhesion and biofilm formation. Several enzymes were also found, although not exclusively, in the biofilm matrix of *P. aeruginosa*, including alkaline protease, chitinase, protease IV, and a putative magnesium-dependent DNase (20), which are likely retained in the matrix by interaction with matrix components such as exopolysaccharides, eDNA, or proteins. The function of these proteins in biofilm formation is yet to be determined.

**BIOFILM-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN (Bap)**

The Bap protein family represents one of the most studied groups of matrix proteins involved in biofilm formation (Table 1). Members of the Bap family are usually very large secreted proteins of up to several hundred kilodaltons in molecular mass. The most unique feature of these proteins is the presence of multiple repeats of identical or near-identical amino acid residues in the core region. Members of the Bap family exhibit the following unique domain features (11). They contain an N-terminal secretion signal sequence, followed by a nonrepetitive N-terminal region B, which may be absent in some Bap orthologs (9). The central region, which makes up most of the protein, consists of multiple identical or near-identical repeats that may contain amyloid-like peptide sequences (90). The number of repeats in the central region can vary in different species and isolates, resulting in different extended structures and protein variants that likely aid in the evasion of host immune responses (91, 92). In Gram-positive bacteria, the protein ends with the C-terminal region carrying an LPXTG (leucine-proline-X-threonine-glycine, where X denotes any amino acid) cell-wall anchoring motif (11). Most of these Bap proteins can function both as virulence factors involved in pathogenesis and as matrix proteins mediating abiotic surface adhesion and subsequent biofilm formation.
formation. In the following section, we will discuss representative Bap proteins from *S. aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, and *Salmonella enterica*.

**S. aureus** Bap

Bap, a 239-kDa cell surface protein (Table 1), was first identified in a study designed to identify genes involved in *Staphylococcus* biofilm formation. Mutants unable to produce Bap exhibit decreased colony corrugation, reduced intercellular adhesion (cell aggregation), and impaired biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces (11, 90–94).

Bap exhibits a unique domain organization (Fig. 3), containing repeats that can be grouped into four regions: A to D (11). The N-terminal region of Bap contains a putative secretion signal sequence and thus likely involves the general Sec-dependent pathway for its secretion. Following the N-terminal signal peptide is region A, which consists of two 32-amino acid repeats (A repeats) separated by 26 amino acids (11). Region B does not contain any repeats. A dimerization domain is predicted in region A and region B, suggesting that Bap may form homodimers or heterodimers with other Bap orthologs (94). Formation of heterodimers of *S. aureus* Bap and Bap orthologs from other bacteria could facilitate formation of mixed-species biofilm formation. The most distinctive region of Bap is the core region (region C), which is comprised of 13 near-identical repeats (C repeats) of 86 amino acids and two partial repeats at each end of region C. Region D consists of three short repeats of 18 amino acids (D repeats) in a stretch of sequence rich in serine and aspartic acid residues and the cell-wall anchoring LPxTG motif at the C-terminal region (11). The repeats in region C are predicted to fold as a seven-strand β-sandwich and exhibit similarity to members of the HYR (hyalin repeat) family that contain extracellular adhesion modules (51, 94). Thus, it is possible that the C repeats could mediate adhesion and be involved in biofilm formation.

However, differences in the number of repeats in region C do not appear to be critical for biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces or colony corrugation (92, 93): a mutant producing a shorter variant of Bap, which contains one C repeat, forms biofilms and exhibits colony morphology indistinguishable from those formed by the parental strain, which produces the wild-type Bap with 13 C repeats (93). This notion was further supported by the observation that there is no association between biofilm formation and natural *bap*-positive isolates containing a varying number of repeats in region C (92). Although the number of C repeats does not appear to affect biofilm formation, the presence of a single C repeat may be sufficient to mediate adherence and biofilm formation in *S. aureus*. Alternatively, region A and/or region B may be involved in biofilm formation. In fact, the N-terminal region of Esp, a Bap ortholog in *Enterococcus faecalis*, which exhibits 33% sequence identity to region B of *S. aureus* Bap, was found to be essential for biofilm formation (10, 95). Therefore, a more in-depth domain analysis would be critical to determine which region of Bap is required for biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Protein (residues)</th>
<th>GenBank/UniProtKB</th>
<th>Size (kDa)</th>
<th>A repeats</th>
<th>C repeats</th>
<th>D repeats</th>
<th>Amyloid peptide</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>V329 Bap</td>
<td>Q79LN3</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (STVTVTD)</td>
<td>11, 90, 91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C533 Bap</td>
<td>AAY28519.1</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (STVTVTD)</td>
<td>17 (STVTVTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus epidermidis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C483 Bap</td>
<td>Q4ZHU4</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (STVTVTD)</td>
<td>2 (STVTVTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus chromogenes</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 Bap</td>
<td>Q4ZHU0</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (STVTVTD)</td>
<td>26 (STVTVTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus hyicus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C482 Bap</td>
<td>AAY28517.1</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23 (STVTVTF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus xylosus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATCC1362 Bap</td>
<td>Q4ZHU2</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (STVTVTD)</td>
<td>5 (STVTVTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica</em></td>
<td>3938 BapA</td>
<td>A9L556</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1 (STVTVTL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<references>
11.
90.
91.
92.
93.
94.
95.
</references>
Posttranslational regulation of Bap function by calcium has been reported (96, 97). Calcium inhibits Bap-mediated biofilm formation, likely through induction of a conformational change (96, 97). Bap contains four predicted Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding EF-hand motifs (PS00018) that exhibit \( \geq 80\% \) similarity to the loop consensus of EF-hand motifs (96). Three are found within region B, while the fourth one is located in region D (Fig. 3). Addition of calcium in the millimolar range reduced wild-type S. aureus biofilm formation on polystyrene plates and cell aggregation in liquid cultures, while no inhibitory effect on biofilm formation was observed with natural Bap-deficient S. aureus isolates (96). In addition, calcium also decreases S. aureus biofilm thickness (97). Mutations in two of the predicted EF-hand motifs abolish the biofilm-inhibitory effect of calcium (96). Western blot analysis of surface protein samples revealed that wild-type Bap harvested from cells grown in the presence of calcium is more resistant to protease degradation than that from cells grown in the absence of calcium. This suggests that binding to calcium, while inhibiting Bap function, renders the protein more resistant to proteolytic degradation (96), possibly due to conformational changes.

**Bap Orthologs in Other Gram-Positive and Gram-Negative Bacteria**

Bap orthologs have also been identified in other Staphylococcus species, including S. epidermidis. An S. epidermidis mutant lacking Bap is incapable of forming wild-type biofilms, while complementation with *bap in trans* increases the biofilm-forming capacity (91). In region C of Bap proteins, amyloid-like peptide sequences were identified (90). Amyloid proteins, such as *Escherichia coli* curli (98) and *B. subtilis* TasA (99), form extracellular fibers that are involved in biofilm formation. The common denominator of the amyloid consensus peptide sequences TVTVT are found in S. aureus and S. epidermidis Bap (Table 1)(90) and Bap orthologs from other Gram-negative bacteria, including BapA from *S. enterica* Typhimurium (Table 1). While the STVTVTTF peptides form stiff fibers several microns long in vitro, as observed with atomic force microscopy (90), formation of amyloid-like fibers by Bap proteins has not been reported. It is predicted that the amyloid sequence repeats contribute to the adhesive properties of Bap in promoting cell-cell adhesion rather than participating in amyloid-like fiber formation. Site-directed mutagenesis or TVTVT motif deletion studies are likely to provide more insights into the role of these repeats in surface adhesion and biofilm formation by Bap and Bap orthologs.

In *S. enterica* Enteritidis, BapA was identified through sequence homology searches using *S. aureus* Bap (9). Phenotypic analyses using bapA deletion and overexpression strains revealed that BapA is involved in pellicle and biofilm formation (9). The core region of BapA contains 29 imperfect tandem repeats of 86 to 106 amino acid residues and shares 29% identity with C repeats of S. aureus Bap (Fig. 3, Table 1). Despite the
absence of calcium-binding motifs, BapA-dependent biofilm formation was found to be mediated by calcium in the millimolar range, similar to that observed for *S. aureus* Bap. The mechanism of calcium regulation of BapA-mediated biofilm formation is currently unknown. Nonetheless, modulation of protein conformation by calcium may be a conserved regulatory mechanism in Bap-mediated biofilm formation in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. BapA also contains three VCBS repeats at the C-terminal region (9), which have been implicated in cell adhesion, further corroborating the involvement of BapA in biofilm formation. BapA is secreted and loosely associated with the bacterial cell surface (9). Secretion of BapA likely occurs through a type I secretion system, because BapA contains three noninteracting α-helices at the C-terminal region that resemble the C-terminal secretion signal recognized by the type I secretion ABC transporter (9). In addition, deletion of downstream coding regions, including a putative ABC-type exporter, abolished BapA secretion and resulted in a strain unable to form pellicles (9). The presence of Bap orthologs with similar domain organization in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria highlights the importance of Bap-family proteins in biofilm formation in a diverse group of bacteria.

**B. SUBTILIS BIOFILM-SURFACE LAYER PROTEIN (BslA)**

The amphiphilic protein BslA, formerly known as YuaB, has been reported to be a major factor contributing to surface repellency and colony corrugation of biofilms formed by *B. subtilis*, a Gram-positive soil-dwelling bacterium. BslA plays a synergistic role with other matrix components, specifically TasA and exopolysaccharides, in the late stages of biofilm formation and confers enhanced repellency in the resultant biofilm with the formation of a unique hydrophobic layer on the biofilm surface (12, 13, 100, 101). Such increased repellency in biofilm surfaces may enhance *B. subtilis* survival in a natural soil habitat by repelling environmental pollutants and toxic compounds such as heavy metals and antimicrobial agents.

Strains lacking BslA form colonies and pellicles with decreased corrugation, altered surface microstructures, and loss of surface repellency (12, 13, 100, 101). Increased expression of *bslA* from an IPTG-inducible promoter in a BslA-deficient strain results in increased colony and pellicle corrugation (12), and exogenous addition of purified BslA complements the altered pellicle and colony phenotypes of a *bslA* mutant strain (13). Overproduction of exopolysaccharide or the amyloid protein TasA, the other two major biofilm matrix components, cannot complement the loss of colony and pellicle corrugation phenotypes due to *bslA* mutation (12), indicating that BslA functions synergistically with TasA and the exopolysaccharide in mediating biofilm formation in *B. subtilis*. Coculturing a BslA-deficient strain and a strain that is unable to produce TasA and exopolysaccharide complements the colony-biofilm phenotype (12). These observations reinforce the notion that the biofilm matrix is composed of secreted matrix components and that these extracellular components contribute collectively to biofilm formation by serving as communal resources. While strains unable to produce BslA, TasA, or exopolysaccharide exhibit altered pellicle structures, only TasA- and exopolysaccharide-deficient strains are incapable of forming cell clusters and aggregates (13), suggesting that BslA likely plays a role in biofilm formation after TasA- and exopolysaccharide-dependent cell clusters are formed.

As a bacterial hydrophobin, BslA also functions synergistically with TasA and the exopolysaccharide in mediating surface repellency, via its ability to form rough surface microstructures (13). BslA exhibits self-polymerization (13), similar to other hydrophobins, and forms a hydrophobic layer at the bottom liquid-cell interface of pellicles (101) and on both the top and bottom surfaces of colony biofilms (13, 101). The BslA hydrophobic layer localized at the bottom of the floating pellicle biofilm may form a protein raft carrying the biofilm mass. Using a *bslA* promoter–green fluorescent protein construct, it was shown that spatial localization of BslA at the surfaces of biofilms is not due to localized transcription of *bslA* (101). BslA therefore migrates, via an unknown mechanism, to the biofilm surface. BslA exhibits unique dual functions in biofilm formation: it functions as a hydrophobin in increasing biofilm repellency and a matrix protein critical for biofilm architecture formation.

BslA contains a secretion signal sequence (13) and has been reported to be part of the secretome of *B. subtilis* grown in liquid cultures (102); however, the mechanism of secretion is unclear. Using Western blot analyses (12, 13) and transmission electron microscopy (12), BslA was shown to be secreted and retained within the biofilm matrix. Although secretion is required for BslA-mediated biofilm formation, it is currently unclear if BslA is associated with the exopolysaccharides or cell wall, since BslA was found in different cellular fractions in different studies and was detected in the matrix only in standing, but not in shaking, cultures (12, 13).
Crystal structures reveal that BslA exhibits an Ig-like fold and contains a hydrophobic cap (101). The presence of the Ig-like fold corroborated the hypothesis that BslA plays an adhesive role in biofilm formation. Site-directed mutagenesis of the residues located in the hydrophobic cap (L76K, L77K, L79K, L121K, L123K, L153K, and L155K) resulted in mutant strains exhibiting altered colony biofilm phenotypes, but only two of these (L77K and L79K) show a loss of surface repellency, indicating that the loss of hydrophobicity is not merely due to a decrease in colony corrugation and complexity (101). However, the loss of surface repellency due to site-directed mutagenesis is always accompanied by loss of complex colony architectures. As such, BslA-mediated surface repellency in B. subtilis biofilms is dependent on the hydrophobic cap, which in turn affects biofilm formation, but loss of BslA function in biofilm formation does not necessarily affect biofilm repellency. Currently, the mechanism by which BslA affects biofilm formation and surface repellency is unknown. In addition, it is not clear how individual BslA proteins interact during the self-assembling process, how BslA localizes to the surface of the biofilm, or what type of interaction occurs between the BslA hydrophilic layer and the exopolysaccharide.

OMVs
OMVs are small spherical structures produced by Gram-negative bacteria through pinching off or blebbing from the outer membrane. They range from 10 to 300 nm in diameter and generally contain cytoplasmic and periplasmic contents such as proteases, alkaline phosphatase, lipases, and toxins, as well as OMPS and LPS. OMVs are involved in many biological processes, including biofilm formation, pathogenesis, quorum signaling, nutrient acquisition, and horizontal gene transfer (103–105). OMVs are produced by several Gram-negative bacteria, including P. aeruginosa (20, 106), Helicobacter pylori (107), V. cholerae (40, 108), and Vibrio fischeri (109), as observed with transmission electron microscopy of thin-section biofilms or extracted OMVs. A growing number of studies have focused on investigating the possible role of OMVs in biofilm formation.

P. aeruginosa OMVs are a component of the biofilm matrix (106). Using transmission electron microscopy, OMVs were observed to be present within the biofilm matrix formed by P. aeruginosa PA01 (106). OMVs extracted from biofilm cultures of P. aeruginosa PA01 differ in quantity, quality, and protein identity compared to those isolated from planktonic cultures (20, 106), suggesting that OMVs may play different roles in these different physiological growth states (i.e., biofilm versus planktonic). It is notable that there are more OMVs isolated from biofilms than from planktonic cultures (106). However, a direct role of OMVs in P. aeruginosa biofilm formation has not been demonstrated. Nonetheless, interactions of OMVs with secreted matrix proteins, through binding to OMPs present in the OMVs, have been reported, thus implicating OMVs in biofilm formation. The OMP OprF was identified in both the biofilm matrix and the OMVs (20). Since OprF interacts with the lectin LecB (89), it is possible that OMVs may be localized in the biofilm matrix via interaction of OMV-associated OprF and the potentially Psl exopolysaccharide–binding LecB, thus forming an OMV-OprF-LecB-Psl interaction. It has been documented that eDNA, a biofilm matrix component of P. aeruginosa PA01, associates with OMVs in P. aeruginosa PA01 (58, 110, 111), which suggests that OMVs may be involved in the process of biofilm formation by interacting with different biofilm matrix components, including eDNA.

A direct role of OMVs in biofilm formation has been demonstrated in H. pylori. Addition of purified OMV-fracton induces biofilm formation in a dose-dependent manner (107). OMV production in H. pylori, similar to that observed in other bacteria, depends on culture conditions and the physiological state of the cells, as increased OMV production and biofilm formation were observed with growth medium containing fetal calf serum in a dose-dependent manner (107). Although the protein compositions of OMVs produced by H. pylori have not been determined, protein profiles, as determined by SDS-PAGE, indicate that OMVs isolated at different stages of biofilm formation exhibit differences (112), suggesting that these OMVs may have distinct cargo.

Production of OMVs has also been reported in V. cholerae (40, 108); however, direct involvement of OMVs in V. cholerae biofilm formation has not been demonstrated. Nonetheless, OMVs isolated from planktonic V. cholerae cultures grown in the presence of the antimicrobial peptide polymyxin B, which induces cell envelope stress, have been shown to bind Bap1 (40), a matrix protein that is involved in biofilm formation (6, 27). As mentioned earlier, Bap1 associates with OMVs by binding to OmpT, and since Bap1 also functions as an adhesive protein, it is likely that Bap1 binding to OMVs results in adherence and localization of OMVs onto surfaces and/or exopolysaccharides, implicating OMVs in biofilm formation.
There is a clear connection between OMV production and biofilm formation in *V. fischeri* (109). Transmission electron microscopy analysis of colony biofilms showed that OMVs are present within the extracellular matrix. A *V. fischeri* strain that exhibits increased biofilm formation, due to overproduction of the RscS sensor kinase, produces approximately 2.5-fold more OMVs than the parental strain. Furthermore, the increase in OMV production is dependent on the production of the symbiosis polysaccharide.

OMV involvement in biofilm formation may be multifaceted, because OMVs could interact with various biofilm matrix components, including proteins, exopolysaccharides, and eDNA. These interactions likely involve proteins and/or LPS found on the surfaces of OMVs, and therefore could reinforce structural integrity of the biofilm matrix. OMVs may also be deposited onto surfaces, thus conditioning the substratum for subsequent bacterial attachment. Further investigations will help to gain greater insights into the role of OMVs in biofilm formation.

**BACTERIAL NUCLEOID-BINDING PROTEINS**

Recent studies revealed that nucleoid-binding proteins, besides being involved in the maintenance of DNA supercoiling and compaction, also play a “moonlighting” role in biofilm formation (113–115). DNABII family proteins, members of the nucleoid-associated protein superfamily, can be grouped into two subtypes: HU (histone-like protein from *E. coli* strain U39), which is ubiquitous in eubacteria, and IHF (integration host factor), which is found only in bacteria within the α- and γ-proteobacteria genera (113, 116). Extracellular-localized nucleoid-binding proteins have been found in association with eDNA in the biofilm matrix from sputum samples of cystic fibrosis patients (114, 115). eDNA contributes to biofilm stability in many bacterial species, including *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (58). It has also been reported that addition of anti-IHF serum, which exhibits avidity to both IHF and HU, to preformed biofilms results in dissolution of *E. coli*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, and *Burkholderia cenocepacia* biofilms (113, 114). Similar dissolutions of preformed biofilm with the addition of anti-IHF serum were also observed with other bacterial species, including *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis*, uropathogenic *E. coli*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, and *P. aeruginosa* (113). A study carried out to identify proteins found in the biofilm matrix of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 identified the nucleoid-binding protein HU in the biofilm matrix (20), further suggesting that nucleoid-binding protein may be involved in biofilm formation. While several other nucleoid-binding proteins, including H-NS (histone-like nucleoid-structuring protein) and DPS (DNA-binding protein from starved cells), are produced in different bacteria, antisera directed against these proteins did not result in alteration of biofilm structures when added to the biofilms (113), suggesting that H-NS and DPS are unlikely to be involved in biofilm formation.

Currently, it is unclear how nucleoid-binding proteins are localized outside of the cells. Since they do not contain a secretion signal sequence, it is likely that they are released into the biofilm matrix via cell lysis or through a similar unknown mechanism involved in eDNA release. Since OMVs may be involved in eDNA release into the biofilm matrix, it is possible that the extracellular localization of these nucleoid-binding proteins may be OMV-mediated. Little is known about the identity of nucleoid-binding proteins in different bacterial biofilms and the role they play in biofilm formation.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Matrix proteins play diverse and important roles in biofilm formation by mediating initial surface attachment, cell cluster and aggregate formation, and establishment and stabilization of elaborate three-dimensional biofilm architectures. Matrix proteins exhibit unique characteristics and function synergistically with each other and with other matrix components, such as exopolysaccharides and eDNA, in biofilm formation. A common feature of these matrix proteins is that they are organized into modules or domains. These include FtnIII, FG-GAP, VCBS, lectin, glycine-rich sugar-binding domains, and RGD sequence motifs that participate in cell-cell adhesion and/or binding to extracellular matrix, cell surface proteins, or carbohydrates. Identification of residues within the domains that are critical for ligand interactions as well as identification of the target ligands will be crucial in deciphering the mechanisms of these matrix proteins in biofilm formation. The regulation of matrix proteins is complex, involving multiple positive and negative transcriptional regulators, alternative sigma factors, and small regulatory RNAs (5, 100, 117–125). Matrix protein production is commonly coordinated with the production of other matrix components, such as exopolysaccharides, leading to optimal biofilm structure and function. Better characterization of the biofilm matrix proteome, structure/function relationships of matrix proteins, and regulatory circuits controlling biofilm matrix production will provide further mechanistic insights into biofilm formation and facilitate development of anti-biofilm therapeutics.
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