Invasion of Host Cells and Tissues by Uropathogenic Bacteria
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ABSTRACT Within the mammalian urinary tract uropathogenic bacteria face many challenges, including the shearing flow of urine, numerous antibacterial molecules, the bactericidal effects of phagocytes, and a scarcity of nutrients. These problems may be circumvented in part by the ability of uropathogenic Escherichia coli and several other uropathogens to invade the epithelial cells that line the urinary tract. By entering host cells, uropathogens can gain access to additional nutrients and protection from both host defenses and antibiotic treatments. Translocation through host cells can facilitate bacterial dissemination within the urinary tract, while the establishment of stable intracellular bacterial populations may create reservoirs for relapsing and chronic urinary tract infections. Here we review the mechanisms and consequences of host cell invasion by uropathogenic bacteria, with consideration of the defenses that are brought to bear against facultative intracellular pathogens within the urinary tract. The relevance of host cell invasion to the pathogenesis of urinary tract infections in human patients is also assessed, along with some of the emerging treatment options that build upon our growing understanding of the infectious life cycle of uropathogenic E. coli and other uropathogens.

INTRODUCTION

The ability of bacterial pathogens to invade host cells can have profound effects on the establishment, persistence, and propagation of infections. By entering host cells and subsequently avoiding destruction within degradative lysosomes, bacteria can gain better access to scarce resources as well as protection from host defenses and antibiotics. Furthermore, host cell invasion can facilitate the dissemination of bacteria within and across tissue barriers. The actual benefits afforded to intracellular bacterial pathogens can be highly context-dependent and sometimes difficult to discern. Over the past three decades, a number of bacterial species that were conventionally thought to be strictly extracellular pathogens were found to have alternative intracellular lifestyles (1, 2). Among these facultative intracellular pathogens are strains of uropathogenic Escherichia coli (UPEC) and other bacteria that cause urinary tract infections (UTIs). These infections are very common, especially among females, and are prone to recur even after treatment with appropriate antibiotics (3, 4). Nearly one-third of women will have an acute UTI by the age of 24, and about 25% of these individuals will experience at least one recurrent UTI within 6 months of the initial infection. Many individuals endure painful bouts of recurrent and chronic UTIs throughout their lives (5). The capacity of some uropathogens to persist and even multiply within host cells may help explain why some UTIs repeatedly recur while also opening the door for new treatment options.

TAKING NOTICE OF INTRACELLULAR UROPATHOGENS

One of the first indications that uropathogenic bacteria could occupy intracellular niches within the urinary tract came from observations dating to the late 1970s. Using an experimental rat UTI model and transmission electron microscopy, researchers observed that UPEC could...
enter the large, terminally differentiated epithelial umbrella cells that line the luminal surface of the bladder urothelium (6). Several years later another group working with a mouse UTI model reported similar results (7). In each of these rodent infection models, the intracellular bacteria were observed both within membrane-bound vacuoles and free within the host cell cytosol. At the time, it was supposed that the bladder umbrella cells were killing the internalized bacteria as part of an innate host defense. This conclusion was in line with earlier work suggesting that uroepithelial cells have the capacity to act like phagocytes (8). In this 1974 study, it was noted that epithelial cells within the urothelium could engulf and destroy erythrocytes that were released due to hemorrhage of submucosal capillaries following the treatment of rats with bladder cytotoxins or carcinogens. The idea that UPEC strains could actually benefit from entry into host bladder cells did not gain a strong foothold until the late 1990s in the wake of observations made by researchers who were imaging the interactions between UPEC and the bladder mucosa in a mouse UTI model (9).

UPEC typically enters the urinary tract via an ascending route, transiting through the urethral opening and up the urethra before colonizing the bladder. Within the bladder, UPEC can utilize peritrichous filamentous adhesive organelles known as type 1 pili (or fimbriae) to engage the bladder umbrella cells (Fig. 1A). Each type 1 pilus is comprised of a 7-nm-wide rod made up of repeating FimA subunits linked via adapter subunits to a distal 3-nm-wide tip fibrillum containing the FimH adhesin (Fig. 1B) (10, 11). FimH can bind a variety of mannose-containing glycoprotein receptors, including the tetraspanin membrane protein uroplakin 1a (UP1a) (12). UP1a is one of four major uroplakin proteins that are embedded as two-dimensional quasi-crystalline arrays of 16-nm-wide hexameric complexes within the apical membranes of the terminally differentiated bladder umbrella cells (Fig. 1C) (13). The uroplakin complexes and specialized tight junctions that link the umbrella cells, as well as underlying layers of less differentiated epithelial cells, create an exceptionally strong permeability barrier (14–16). In 1998, high-resolution imaging of mouse bladders that were infected via transurethral catheterization showed UPEC tethered to the uroplakin-studded surfaces of bladder umbrella cells via numerous type 1 pili (Fig. 1A) (9). This study also revealed the host plasma membrane zippering around some of the adherent bacteria via contacts with the distal tips of the type 1 pili (Fig. 1D-E). Follow-up work indicated that these bacteria were internalized but not killed by the bladder cells (9, 17). In addition, the internalized bacteria were found to be markedly better at persisting within the bladder than their extracellular counterparts (9, 18–24).

**THE FATES OF INTRACELLULAR UPEC**

Following internalization by bladder epithelial cells in mice and in culture, UPEC is initially trafficked into membrane-bound compartments that are similar to late endosomes (25–27). Within these compartments, UPEC growth is restricted and the pathogens appear to enter an almost quiescent state. However, UPEC can also occasionally break out into the host cytosol and subsequently undergo rampant multiplication, forming large biofilm-like intracellular bacterial communities (IBCs) that can contain more than 10,000 bacteria (Fig. 2A-B) (17, 21, 23, 26, 28). IBCs are clonal; thus, each is usually derived from a single pathogen that manages to enter the host cytosol (21). The development of IBCs occurs primarily within the umbrella cells, even though UPEC can also invade the underlying, less differentiated epithelial cells that comprise the bladder urothelium (Fig. 2B-E) (17, 26, 27, 29). In mice, anywhere from 3 to 700 IBCs can be detected in a single bladder within just a few hours after inoculation with UPEC (21). IBCs begin as loose assemblies of rod-shaped bacteria that can multiply with doubling times of less than 30 minutes (23). This is not much slower than the doubling times of *E. coli* strains in rich broth culture, indicating that the host cytosol likely has abundant nutrients that UPEC can utilize. As bacteria within the IBCs multiply, many produce daughter cells that are smaller and more coccoid in shape. This morphological change may enable higher numbers of bacteria to be packed within the host cell while also providing added protection against phagocytes (23, 30).

Eventually, the integrity of the infected umbrella cells is compromised, and bacteria begin to spill out into the surrounding environment (Fig. 3A-B) (17, 23). The emergent bacteria are often highly motile and can go on to infect neighboring cells or are flushed from the urinary tract with the flow of urine. At this stage, UPEC also temporarily transition into partially septated filamentous forms that can attain lengths of greater than 100 μm. These remarkably long bacteria, which are resistant to killing by phagocytes, can worm their way through tight openings in the host plasma membrane and can extend relatively large distances within and between host cells (Fig. 3C-D) (17, 23, 31). The formation of filamentous bacteria during the final stages of
FIGURE 1  Type 1 pili mediate UPEC entry into bladder epithelial cells.  (A) High-resolution deep-etch electron microscopy image showing UPEC (yellow) bound to a mouse bladder umbrella cell (blue) via multiple type 1 pili. (B) Close-up view of a type 1 pilus, showing the 3-nm-wide FimH-containing tip fibrillum structure (arrowhead). (C) Close-up view of the 16-nm-wide hexagonal uroplakin complexes that are embedded within the umbrella cell asymmetric unit membrane (AUM). (D, E) High-resolution freeze-fracture/deep-etch electron microscopy images showing the AUM enveloping bound UPEC. Scale bars = 0.5 μm. Images are reprinted from Proc Natl Acad Sci USA [18] and Science [9] with permission of the publishers.
IBC development is important for the dissemination and persistence of UPEC within the urinary tract (31, 32). IBCs provide UPEC with a means to rapidly multiply within a protected niche, isolated from the shear flow of urine, infiltrating phagocytes, and many other host defenses. Rounds of IBC growth and subsequent dispersal are observed in mouse models during the acute phase of a UTI, but the numbers of detectable IBCs eventually fade as the infection progresses (21, 23, 26, 33). This is likely due to multiple factors, including the upregulation of host defenses and the loss of susceptible umbrella cells, many of which are shed in response to a UTI (Fig. 3E) (9, 17, 23, 26). Though IBCs do not serve as stable long-term repositories of UPEC within the urinary tract, they can nonetheless have sizable effects on the progression and persistence of a UTI. In particular, the more IBCs that form in mice during the first 24 hours of a bladder infection, the greater the chances are for the development of chronic UTIs (21). This correlation may reflect an ability of some of the bacteria that are released from IBCs to establish long-lived, mostly quiescent reservoirs within the urothelium (17, 21, 26, 27, 29). These intracellular bacterial reservoirs, bound within endosomal membranes, can persist in the bladder for many days and weeks, even when the host is treated with antibiotics that completely sterilize the urine (18–20, 22, 24, 29). The resurgence of UPEC from intracellular reservoirs is proposed to be an important source for relapsing and ostensibly recurrent UTIs.

INTRACELLULAR UROPATHOGENS IN THE HUMAN HOST

An ability to invade bladder epithelial cells and form both IBCs and quiescent reservoirs is widespread among UPEC isolates and has been documented in a range of genetically distinct mice and in various cell culture-based assays (34, 35). However, the relevance of host cell invasion by uropathogens to UTIs in the human population is the subject of some debate (for example, see references 36, 37). Nonetheless, evidence that intracellular bacteria contribute to disease within the human urinary tract is gaining traction.

One of the earliest examples of intracellular bacteria found within the human urinary tract comes from a 1985 study of patients with lower urinary tract symp-

**FIGURE 2** Localization of UPEC within the bladder urothelium. (A, B) Confocal images of tissue sections from infected mouse bladders show IBCs (green) within umbrella cells (UC). F-actin (red) is sparse within these host cells but dense within the underlying immature cells (IC). A single bacterium, localized within a LAMP-1-positive compartment (blue) and surrounded by F-actin, is visible within one of the immature cells (box). (C–E) Images show magnified views of the area that is boxed in (B). Figures are reprinted from *Cellular Microbiology* (26) with permission of the publisher.
Individuals with LUTS can present with a variety of problems, including urinary urgency, frequency, dysuria, and bladder pain. Microscopic examination of bladder biopsies revealed the presence of intracellular bacteria in 8 out of 16 patients who had LUTS in the absence of bacteriuria (38). More recent studies have confirmed and extended these findings, showing that intracellular bacteria are especially common in LUTS patients with idiopathic overactive bladder (39–42). The apparent involvement of intracellular uropathogens in the etiology of LUTS for a large subset of patients is intriguing and suggests that the optimized use of host membrane-permeable antibiotics or other therapeutics that target invasive bacteria may be valuable treatment alternatives for these individuals.

The examination of LUTS patients also demonstrated that results from bacteriological analysis of urine samples do not necessarily reflect levels of bacterial colonization within the urinary tract (38). Specifically, culture-based diagnostic approaches do not take into account microbes that are associated with the bladder mucosa, and they can severely underestimate bacterial titers if IBCs or filamentous pathogens are present (41). These issues may lead to the underdiagnosis of bacteriuria and UTI in a variety of patient populations. For example, in a recent study of 23 renal transplant
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**FIGURE 3** The efflux and filamentation of UPEC coincident with the exfoliation of IBC-containing umbrella cells. (A–C) Scanning electron microscopy images show filamentous forms of UPEC, as well as their normal-sized counterparts, emerging from within IBCs. (D) Image from a hematoxylin- and eosin-stained bladder section highlights the ability of filamentous UPEC forms to extend long distances through umbrella cells. (E) Confocal image shows an IBC (blue) in close association with cytokinin intermediate filaments (green) within an umbrella cell that is undergoing exfoliation. LAMP-1-positive compartments are red. Scale bars = 5 µm (A–C); 10 µm (D, E). Images are from mouse bladders recovered 6 hours after transurethral inoculation with UPEC. The figures are modified from Cellular Microbiology (26) or reprinted from Infection and Immunity (17) with permission of the publishers.
recipients who were being screened for UTI, intracellular bacteria were observed in shed uroepithelial cells from 44% of the patients, but only one patient tested positive for bacteriuria by routine urine culture assays (43).

Intracellular bacteria, including IBCs, have also been documented in other diverse patient populations. In one study, microscopic examination of clean-catch urine specimens indicated the presence of IBCs within shed uroepithelial cells that were collected from young women who had acute uncomplicated UTI or a history of UTI (44). Of 65 women with UPEC-associated infections, 22% showed signs of IBCs and 45% had filamentous bacteria, which are often associated with IBC development. These were not observed in any samples recovered from 20 asymptomatic women. Interestingly, UTI symptoms were prolonged in women in whom IBC-containing uroepithelial cells were detected. Other work employing confocal microscopy revealed intracellular bacteria in 49 out of 133 (~37%) urine samples collected from children with UPEC-associated UTI (45, 46). In these individuals, the presence of intracellular E. coli was associated with recurrent UTIs, and this link was stronger in children who lacked any functional or morphological urinary abnormalities.

Cumulatively, these findings support the notion that uroepithelial cells can serve as staging grounds for rapid intracellular bacterial growth and as shelters for persistent bacterial reservoirs within the human urinary tract. Of note, recurrent UTIs are often caused by the same strain that was responsible for the initial infection, even when separated in time by many weeks or years (47–50). One interpretation of these data is that some recurrent UTIs develop due to the recrudescence of intracellular bacterial reservoirs that are not effectively cleared from the urinary tract following an initial infection. Though recurrent UTIs also certainly arise by reinoculation of the urinary tract with bacteria coming from outside niches such as the vagina and gut, the potential for UPEC growth and long-term persistence within uroepithelial cells warrants attention when considering the nature of recalcitrant UTIs and the development of more efficacious treatment strategies.

MECHANISMS OF BLADDER CELL INVASION BY UPEC

The type 1 pilus-associated adhesin FimH is the major facilitator of UPEC entry into host cells. UPEC mutants that lack FimH are unable to effectively invade bladder epithelial cells either in cell culture model systems or in mice (9, 25). Furthermore, latex beads that are coated with FimH are readily internalized by bladder cells in culture, in contrast to beads that are coated with control proteins. The FimH-mediated uptake of bacteria or beads requires actin cytoskeletal rearrangements that drive the host plasma membrane to zipper around and eventually engulf the adherent particles (25, 51). This zippering mechanism resembles the host cell invasion processes used by a number of other bacterial pathogens, including Listeria monocytogenes and Yersinia spp. These invasive pathogens cause the directed reorganization of the host actin cytoskeleton by stimulating specific host receptors and downstream signaling cascades (52).

As the major receptor available to FimH on the bladder surface, UP1a is presumed to be an important mediator of UPEC entry into umbrella cells. UP1a has a single N-linked oligosaccharide side chain that is recognized by the mannose-binding domain of FimH (12, 53). During maturation and transport to the apical plasma membrane of umbrella cells, UP1a forms 16-nm-wide hexameric complexes with the integral membrane uroplakin proteins UP1b, UPII, and UPIIIa (13). These complexes are further organized into plaques that are about 0.5 μm in diameter (see Fig. 1C). In umbrella cells, pairs of maturing plaques are assembled within discoidal vesicles, separated by interplaque hinge regions that lack the uroplakin complexes. As the bladder fills with urine, the discoidal vesicles are mobilized from the cytosol to add membrane and uroplakins to the luminal surface of the bladder (54). When the bladder is emptied, excess uroplakin plaques are internalized and degraded through a process referred to as compensatory endocytosis (55).

The bulk of each uroplakin protein on the umbrella cell surface is extracellular, with only the type-1 membrane protein UPIIIa having a sizable cytoplasmic tail (13). This gives the apical plasma membrane an asymmetric appearance when viewed from the side by transmission electron microscopy and earned it the label of asymmetric unit membrane (AUM) (56). Each hexagonal uroplakin complex within the AUM has a 3.7-nm wide central crevice that is lined in part by UP1a (13, 57). The localization of FimH at the distal tip of each type 1 pilus likely gives the adhesin better access to UP1a-associated mannose residues buried within the hexameric uroplakin complexes (9). Once formed, FimH interactions with UP1a may allow UPEC to enter umbrella cells by the same pathway used to take in uroplakin plaques during compensatory endocytosis. This rather passive entry mechanism could be expedited by the ability of FimH binding to elicit conformational
changes within uroplakin transmembrane domains (58, 59). Work in bladder cell lines suggests that these changes can result in the phosphorylation of a threonine residue within the long cytoplasmic tail of UPIII by the host enzyme casein kinase II (CK2) (60). Subsequent downstream signaling events, including calcium fluxes, may in turn stimulate local cytoskeletal rearrangements, leading to bacterial internalization. The highly flexible (hypercompliant) nature of the AUM could further aid the internalization process by allowing multiple uroplakin plaques to deform and envelop UPEC (see Fig. 1D-E) (59).

In addition to umbrella cells, FimH can mediate UPEC entry into immature uroepithelial cells and many other host cell types that lack uroplakins or uroplakin plaques. Over the years, researchers have found that FimH can bind a wide range of mannose-containing glycoproteins as well as a few nonglycosylated components of the extracellular matrix. The known receptors for FimH are numerous and include α3 and β1 integrin subunits, the leukocyte adhesion molecules CD11b and CD18, the glycoprophatidylinositol-anchored protein CD48, the pattern recognition receptor Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion family members, nonspecific cross-reacting antigen-50, glycoprotein 2, type I and type IV collagens, fibronectin, and laminin (61–72). Among these diverse receptors, α3 and β1 integrins have emerged as important mediators of host cell invasion by type 1-piliated bacteria (71). Heterodimers of α and β integrin subunits serve as major surface-localized signaling conduits into and out of host cells, with especially important roles in connecting extracellular matrix (ECM) components with the actin cytoskeleton (73). These integrin subunits are expressed by umbrella cells and other epithelial cells found throughout the urinary tract (74, 75). Many bacterial pathogens, including Yersinia spp. and group A Streptococcus, can invade host cells by engaging integrins either directly or indirectly via association with ECM proteins (76, 77). The heterodimerization of α3 and β1 integrin subunits creates a ligand-binding pocket that can recognize a number of ECM factors, including collagen, laminin, and fibronectin (78). FimH interactions with α3β1 integrins occur independently of the canonical ECM-binding site (71). Rather, FimH binds α3 and β1 integrin subunits individually via N-linked high-mannose-type glycan structures present in their extracellular domains. Subsets of the glycans that are associated with α3β1 integrins expressed by bladder epithelial cells are structurally similar to those that decorate UP1a (71, 79–81).

In bladder cell infection models, α3 and β1 integrin subunits cluster around adherent and invading type 1-piliated bacteria, coincident with the accumulation of actin filaments (F-actin) (71). FimH-mediated invasion of host cells is inhibited by α3 and β1 integrin-specific blocking antibodies and by disruption of the β1 integrin gene. The use of conditional knockout mice shows that α3β1 integrins also promote UPEC entry into host cells within the bladder urothelium in vivo (M.A. Mulvey, unpublished observations). Signaling cascades downstream of integrin receptors are modulated by the phosphorylation of conserved serine, threonine, and tyrosine residues within the cytoplasmic tail of β integrin subunits (73). The mutation of these residues within β1 integrin can have variable effects, either stimulating or hindering UPEC entry depending on the nature of the altered residue(s) (71). Not unexpectedly, many of the host factors that are known to modulate actin dynamics in association with integrin signaling have been implicated as mediators of host cell invasion by UPEC (Fig. 4). These factors include a spectrum of signaling scaffolds and adaptor proteins (e.g., paxillin, AP-2, clathrin heavy chain, NUMB, Dab2, and ARH), kinases (e.g., FAK, MAP kinases, PI-3 kinase), Rho GTPases (e.g., Rac1, Cdc42, RhoA), and actin-binding proteins and nucleators (e.g., Arp2/3, WAVE2, α-actinin, vinculin) (25, 71, 82–84). Microtubules and microtubule-associated factors (e.g., kinesin-1, HDAC6) may also stimulate the entry process, acting indirectly to modulate actin dynamics (85, 86).

In addition to actin rearrangements, the internalization of UPEC by bladder cells likely requires the addition of host membrane to the cell surface to accommodate the zipping process (87, 88). This membrane can be derived from various sources, including endosomal compartments, lysosomes, or, in the case of bladder umbrella cells, discoid vesicles. The delivery of membrane to sites of UPEC entry involves small GTP-binding proteins such as Rab27b, which can also influence the intracellular trafficking and efflux of uroplakin plaques and UPEC alike (54, 89–91). The silencing of Rab27b expression inhibits UPEC entry into host cells, and internalized UPEC initially localizes within Rab27b-positive compartments (82, 89).

One of the final steps of the internalization process leading to the formation of nascent, UPEC-containing Rab27b-positive vesicles within the host cytosol is catalyzed by the large GTPase dynamin2 (82, 92, 93). The activity of dynamin2 is enhanced by S-nitrosylation of a single cysteine residue via reaction with nitric oxide (NO) that is generated by endothelial NO synthase
(NOS3) (92, 93). Within the bladder, the levels of NO and other reactive nitrogen species rapidly increase in response to infection (94). Reactive nitrogen species are produced by the host as an antimicrobial defense, but UPEC isolates are often highly resistant to the damaging effects these radicals (95–98). Consequently, UPEC can sidestep the dangers of eliciting NO production while simultaneously taking advantage of the benefits afforded to invasive pathogens by NO-enhanced dynamin2 activity.

To date, well over 40 host cell factors have been implicated as regulators of bladder cell invasion by UPEC downstream of FimH binding to either integrin subunits or UP1a. These host factors are interconnected within a web of signaling pathways (Fig. 4B). Rather than acting autonomously, different FimH receptors and associated signaling pathways may promote UPEC entry in a synergistic fashion. For example, the uptake of uroplakin plaques by compensatory endocytosis requires the activation of β1 integrin-associated signaling pathways (55). Consequently, it is feasible that FimH interactions with β1 integrins can promote the internalization of UP1a-bound UPEC into umbrella cells in part by stimulating compensatory endocytosis. The complexity of UPEC entry into host cells increases further when considering possible involvement of coreceptors such as the host complement receptor CD46 and contributions made by other bacterial factors that can also facilitate bacterial internalization (99, 100). The latter include Afa/Dr adhesins, E. coli common pili, flagella, outer membrane protein T, the salmochelin siderophore receptor IroN, and the Rho GTPase-activating toxin cytotoxic necrotizing factor 1 (see Fig. 4A) (101–110). The specific sets of bacterial and host factors that are engaged by UPEC to gain access to intracellular niches likely vary as the pathogens encounter changing environments and host cell types during the course of a UTI.

**FIGURE 4** UPEC invasion of bladder epithelial cells. (A) Model depicts host and bacterial factors that have been identified as regulators of bladder cell invasion by UPEC. Potential therapeutics are also indicated. (B) The host factors that can modulate the FimH-dependent entry of UPEC into bladder cells are interconnected. The image in (B) was created using the STRING database (version 10.0) of known and predicted protein-protein interactions (198). Line thickness indicates the strength of the supporting data.
REGULATION OF INTRACELLULAR BACTERIAL GROWTH AND PERSISTENCE

Within bladder epithelial cells, UPEC is trafficked into membrane-bound compartments that are positive for the late endosomal markers Lysosome-Associated Membrane Protein-1 (LAMP-1), lysobisphosphatidic acid, and CD63 (Fig. 5) (27, 82). These compartments lack the lysosomal protease cathepsin D and may or may not be acidified. The endosomal trafficking of UPEC within bladder cells can also impinge on autophagic pathways and multivesicular bodies (1, 111, 112). UPEC avoids destruction within degradative lysosomes in part by causing the upregulation and recruitment of the host protein Rab35 (113). This small GTPase has a key role in the endosomal recycling of transferrin receptor, and its colocalization with UPEC-containing vacuoles aids iron acquisition by the pathogens and prevents fusion with degradative lysosomes.

Although UPEC can survive for long periods bound within host endosomes, its intravacuolar growth is restricted. This is partially attributable to host F-actin, which often surrounds UPEC-containing vacuoles within immature bladder epithelial cells (see Fig. 2B–E) (26). F-actin may limit bacterial growth by gating the trafficking of nutrients and/or other factors into and out of UPEC-containing vacuoles and by physically corraling the pathogens. The translocation of UPEC into the host cytosol where rapid growth and IBC development occurs is facilitated by the disruption of the actin cytoskeleton and endosomal membranes (26, 114). Within terminally differentiated umbrella cells, actin filaments are distributed primarily along basolateral surfaces and are sparse elsewhere (see Fig. 2) (115). This situation likely enables UPEC to more easily escape into the host cytosol and subsequently form IBCs. In contrast to umbrella cells, the much smaller immature transitional cells of the urothelium have a more dense arrangement of actin filaments. Bacteria that manage to invade these immature cells may become, in effect, locked within actin-bound endosomes. Although they
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**FIGURE 5** The fates of UPEC following entry into bladder epithelial cells. See text for details.
are unable to effectively multiply, the near quiescent status of these intravacuolar bacteria renders them insensitive to many host defenses and antibiotics (17, 24). This is because current antibiotics are often only effective against growing bacteria, and many are unable to cross host membranes. The latter effect is amplified when considering bacteria that are buried within the urothelium, which itself functions as an especially strong permeability barrier (14). Intracellular UPEC reservoirs have a quantifiable survival advantage within antibiotic-treated hosts (19, 20, 22, 24). The resurgence of UPEC from these reservoirs may be triggered by the relocalization of F-actin due to terminal differentiation of umbrella cells or other processes and may contribute to the development of chronic and relapsing UTIs (29).

The development of quiescent intracellular UPEC reservoirs may also be facilitated by the activation of bacterial toxin-antitoxin (TA) systems. These systems consist of relatively stable toxins that are held in check by more labile antitoxins. Stressful conditions can result in degradation of the antitoxins and subsequent toxin activation. This, in turn, can cause bacteria to form quiescent, antibiotic-tolerant persister cells (116). Of the dozens of toxin-antitoxin systems that have been identified, only a subset is encoded by strains of UPEC (117, 118). A few of these (YefM-YoeB, YbaJ-Hha, and PasTI) have been shown to promote UPEC persistence within the urinary tract and may help regulate the establishment of quiescent reservoirs and IBC development (117).

The formation of IBCs within the cytosol of terminally differentiated bladder umbrella cells occurs in close association with host cytokeratin intermediate filaments, which can serve as scaffolding for biofilm development (see Fig. 3F) (26, 119). IBC maturation is also facilitated by multiple bacterial factors, including many that have been implicated in the production of extracellular biofilms. These include type 1 pili, the adhesin Ag43, capsule, OmpA, purine biosynthesis enzymes, and various regulators such as integration host factor, the QseC sensor kinase, the RNA chaperone Hfq, and the periplasmic prolyl isomerase and chaperone SurA (Fig. 5) (28, 120–128). Bacteria growing within IBCs appear to utilize non-glucose carbon sources such as galactoside and express stress-resistance genes such as yerR that enable UPEC to better deal with oxidative and nitrosative stresses (97, 129). Not unexpectedly, bacterial iron acquisition systems are especially important to the intracellular survival and growth of UPEC (114, 129, 130).

**ANTIBACTERIAL DEFENSES AND LIABILITIES**

The host can deploy a wide array of defenses to interfere with the ability of UPEC and other uropathogens to colonize uroepithelial cells. Among these is the flow of urine that can wash away nonadherent microbes, the secretion of antiadherence factors such as Tamm-Horsfall protein and surfactant protein D, and the production of antibacterial proteins such as secretory IgA, ribonuclease 7, and defensins (131–136). When overwhelmed by bacteria, bladder epithelial cells can also initiate programmed cell death pathways that lead to their exfoliation and eventual clearance from the urinary tract with the flow of urine. This process entails the activation caspases, the exocytosis of lysosomes, and the disassembly of host tight junctions and other intercellular connections (9, 18, 137–141). The specific bacterial factors that elicit bladder cell exfoliation during a UTI are not yet well defined. However, exfoliation and host cell death may be enhanced by activation of the host kinase CK2 downstream of FimH-mediated interactions with uroplakin complexes, as well as by the secreted bacterial toxins cytotoxic necrotizing factor 1 and α-hemolysin (60, 142–146). The exfoliation of IBC-containing umbrella cells can rid the host of huge numbers of bacteria (see Fig. 3E). However, this defense may also facilitate the dissemination of UPEC to other hosts and can promote bacterial dispersal within the urinary tract by compromising the barrier function of the urothelium (17). This problem is countered in part by the remarkable ability of the otherwise extremely stable urothelium to rapidly regenerate when damaged (6, 9, 147–150).

Like exfoliation, other host defense mechanisms can also have downsides. For example, the production of antibacterial peptides and the induction of inflammatory responses are generally considered to be beneficial for the host (132, 151, 152). However, recent research in mice indicates that some antibacterial peptides, such as cathelicidin, can also potentiate UPEC colonization of the bladder while the excess stimulation of inflammatory responses can drive the development of chronic UTIs (33, 153). This effect could be in part attributable to the influx of neutrophils, which are important for the clearance of uropathogens but can also disrupt interepithelial junctions and thereby facilitate UPEC dissemination. The difference between a host defense and a liability within the urinary tract is therefore not always easily discerned. This is further exemplified by considering the intracellular trafficking of UPEC.

Recent reports indicate that bladder epithelial cells can redirect invading bacteria, forcing their expulsion via TLR4- and cAMP-dependent trafficking pathways.
before they can establish either IBCs or intracellular reservoirs (Fig. 5) (89, 90, 112, 154). One of these expulsion pathways involves the assembly of exocyst complexes around UPEC-containing vacuoles downstream of the TLR4-dependent ubiquitination of the immune regulator TRAF3 (154). Another pathway expels bacteria via a more circuitous route in which UPEC first enters the host cytosol before being trafficked through autophagosomes and multivesicular bodies to lysosomes that are jettisoned through a process that is regulated by the cation channel TRPML3 (112). Although these nonlytic expulsion pathways can hinder bacterial colonization of individual bladder cells, they may also enable uropathogens to better disseminate by moving in and out of host cells. Specifically, expulsion pathways may promote bacterial transmission through host cell layers and could be especially valuable for a microbe endeavoring to ascend through the urinary tract against the bulk flow of urine.

The efflux of UPEC from IBCs within dying umbrella cells may similarly facilitate bacterial dissemination within the urinary tract (see Fig. 3) (17, 31). The emergence of filamentous bacterial forms, in particular, may be advantageous by allowing UPEC to span distances between host cells without losing contact with the urothelium. The filamentous bacteria themselves are a consequence of TLR4-dependent host defenses that cause activation of DNA damage SOS responses in UPEC and subsequent inhibition of bacterial septation (23, 31, 32). In an alternative parallel pathway, activation of the bacterial cell division protein DamX can also induce transient filamentation upon exposure to lipid shear forces as encountered at the urothelium-urine interface (155). The altered morphology and surface characteristics of filamentous UPEC forms render these bacteria resistant to phagocytosis by neutrophils (23, 156). However, even if phagocytosis cannot be avoided, some UPEC strains can survive for some time within the phagosomal compartments of neutrophils and macrophages (61, 157–159). Transcriptional profiling recently identified 22 bacterial genes that seem to promote UPEC survival within macrophages (160). Among these were phage-shock-protein-related genes, which enable bacteria to better deal with extracytoplasmic stresses and pH changes.

Possibly the first description of intracellular bacterial reservoirs within the urinary tract comes from the analysis of intramacrophage E. coli communities. In patients suffering from a rare inflammatory condition known as urinary malakoplakia, viable intracellular E. coli populations were detected within macrophages in granulomatous ulcers isolated from bladder or kidney tissues (161–163). These internalized bacteria were protected from antibiotic treatments that could sterilize the urine, leading the authors to suggest that intracellular bacterial reservoirs could contribute to the chronic and recurrent UTIs that often plague patients with malakoplakia. Although this work focused on bacterial survival within defective macrophages, it is highly reminiscent of findings made many years later with urethelial cells (9, 17, 24). The impact of enhanced UPEC survival within functionally normal immune cells on the progression and persistence of UTIs is unclear. However, a recent study indicates that tissue-resident macrophages within the bladder can internalize and sequester large numbers of UPEC organisms, which in turn impedes the development of adaptive responses to UTI (164). These observations raise the possibility that internalized UPEC might be able to alter the antigen-presenting activities of macrophages.

**BACTERIAL INVASION OF KIDNEY CELLS**

Though most studies of host cell invasion by uropathogens have focused on the bladder, it has been appreciated for many years that bacteria can also enter renal epithelial cells (165–167). UPEC does not appear to multiply or take up long-term residence within kidney cells, but its translocation through collecting duct epithelial cells can facilitate bacterial dissemination into the renal interstitium and then into the bloodstream (168). This in turn can result in the development of bacteremia and urosepsis. Within the collecting ducts of the kidneys, intercalated cells are likely primary portals for UPEC translocation into the renal interstitium (169). Several host and bacterial factors have been identified as facilitators of UPEC translocation through renal epithelial cells. These include sets of bacterial adhesins made up of P pili in combination with type 1 pili, Dr/Afa adhesins, or S pili (170). Type 1 pili can also synergize with the complement component C3 and the C3 receptor CD46 to stimulate UPEC entry into renal epithelial cells (99, 100, 171). Another set of complement factors, C5a and its receptor C5aR1, promote UPEC colonization of the kidneys, in part, by enhancing bacterial survival within macrophages (172). The pattern recognition receptors TLR4 and TLR5 have also been implicated in the translocation of UPEC through renal epithelial cells, with the latter working in concert with bacterial flagella (168, 173, 174). The molecular machinery that controls the trafficking of UPEC through renal epithelial cells has not been defined.
OTHER INVASIVE UROPATHOGENS

UPEC is not alone in its ability to invade uroepithelial cells. The Gram-positive opportunistic uropathogens Staphylococcus saprophyticus, Streptococcus agalactiae, and Enterococcus faecalis can invade bladder epithelial cells, and the latter has been isolated within shed urothelial cells from LUTS patients (175–177). Proteus mirabilis, which is often associated with the formation of urinary stones, can transiently invade both kidney and bladder epithelial cells (178, 179). Host cell entry by this pathogen is facilitated by sets of bacterial trimeric autotransporter proteins, the sigma factor RpoE, the putrescine importer PlaP, flagella, and regulators of swarm cell formation (180–183). Klebsiella pneumoniae, which is a common cause of nosocomial UTIs, enters bladder uroepithelial cells via an actin- and microtubule-dependent pathway that is triggered by interactions between host glycoprotein receptors and a FimH orthologue (184–186). This entry mechanism is comparable to that used by type 1 piliated UPEC isolates. Similar to UPEC, K. pneumonia and E. faecalis can both form IBC-like inclusions within bladder umbrella cells (177, 187). Interestingly, the presence of these and other opportunistic uropathogens during polymicrobial UTIs can select for more invasive UPEC isolates (188). This observation indicates that an ability to invade uroepithelial cells provides uropathogens with a bona fide competitive advantage within the urinary tract.

TARGETING INTRACELLULAR UROPATHOGENS

The treatment of UTIs is complicated by the ability of UPEC and other uropathogenic bacteria to invade uroepithelial cells, where they are protected from the effects of most antibiotics. In the case of UPEC, the formation of long-living intracellular reservoirs may make complete eradication of the pathogen from the urinary tract especially difficult (17, 24). The development of pilicides and mannosides that interfere with the functions of adhesive organelles such as type 1 pili may prove useful in hindering bacterial invasion of uroepithelial cells as well as disrupting IBCs (189–191). Natural products from cranberry and other sources may likewise impede UPEC entry into host cells, either by preventing bacterial attachment or by disrupting signaling through β1 integrin or other key host receptors (see Fig. 4) (84, 192–195).

To target intracellular bacterial reservoirs within the bladder after they are already established, it may be possible to use a “shock and kill” approach akin to therapies that are being developed to eradicate latent HIV reservoirs. In this case, a bladder cell exfoliant such as chitosan or imidazolium salts is instilled into the bladder lumen, triggering the rapid release of umbrella cells and the subsequent proliferation and differentiation of newly exposed immature uroepithelial cells (149, 196, 197). This process eradicates any reservoir populations that may be present within the superficial layer of bladder cells but also induces the resurgence of UPEC from less mature uroepithelial cells as they differentiate and realign their actin filaments (29). The coordinate administration of antibiotics, which are entirely ineffective against intracellular UPEC populations, can then be used to clear the emergent pathogens. This strategy has worked well in mouse models, but its safety and efficacy in humans have not been addressed. Nonetheless, such exploratory studies in animal models are promising and will hopefully lead basic researchers and clinicians alike to consider treatment strategies that take advantage of our growing knowledge of the mechanisms and consequences of host cell invasion by uropathogens.
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